United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

v.) Case No. 5:15-CR-227-2FL
ROBERT CLIFFORD YARGER) Case No. 5.15-CR-221-2FL
Defendant)
DETENTION ORD	ER PENDING TRIAL
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bai require that the defendant be detained pending trial.	l Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
Part I—Fir	ndings of Fact
$\ \square$ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
of \Box a federal offense \Box a state or local offen	nse that would have been a federal offense if federal
jurisdiction had existed - that is	
☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. for which the prison term is 10 years or mor	§ 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) e.
☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence	is death or life imprisonment.
☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term	n of ten years or more is prescribed in
	.*
a felony committed after the defendant had l described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C),	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses or comparable state or local offenses:
☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence bu	t involves:
☐ a minor victim	
☐ the possession or use of a firearm or des	structive device or any other dangerous weapon
☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 22	250
\Box (2) The offense described in finding (1) was commit federal, state release or local offense.	ted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a
☐ (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed since	the date of conviction the defendant's release
from prison for the offense described in finding ((1).
	e presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety and that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
Alternative	e Findings (A)
\Box (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendance	dant has committed an offense
☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten year	ars or more is prescribed in
□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the particle the defendant's appearance and the	presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure safety of the community.
		Alternative Findings (B)
$\mathbf{Y}_{(1)}$	There is a serious risk that the defe	endant will not appear.
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defe	endant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
		Statement of the Reasons for Detention ion submitted at the detention hearing establishes by clear and
	·	· ·
₽ Ba		If the evidence that ight to a detention hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that call the defendant's appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community.
		condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably
— as	_	afety of another person or the community. The lack of stable employment
L	The nature of the chargesThe apparent strength of the government	
L	The indication of substance abuse	The fact that the charges arose while on state probation
L	The defendant's criminal history	The history of probation revocations
	Other:	
L	_	
	Part II	I—Directions Regarding Detention
pending order of	rections facility separate, to the extent appeal. The defendant must be afform	tody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody ded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility s marshal for a court appearance.
Date:	09/01/2015	Robert T Numbers II
		Judge's Signature
		ROBERT T. NUMBERS, II, US MAGISTRATE JUDGE
		Name and Title